

Dr. Katherine Horton
Joint Investigation Team
Zurich, Switzerland
jit@protonmail.ch

To:
The Judge
Prefet de l'Isère
M. Plassiard
Mme. Bigoshi
M. Savy

Please Note: The English version of this testimony is provided on 30th May 2017 to the judge.
The French translation can be provided subsequently within a few days.

Supporting expert testimony for Frederic Laroche

Dear Sir/Madam,

29 May 2017

I am writing to inform you that Frederic Laroche is the victim of systematic and persistent crimes committed by the intelligence agencies.

There is currently an international investigation under way, after it has come to public attention that the American and European intelligence agencies are conducting large scale illegal weapons testing on the civilian population using electromagnetic weapons, illegal implants as well as nano-technology and modern neuro-technology.

The investigations are conducted by, among others, some of the most famous whistle-blowers from the National Security Agency in the US, namely William Binney, Kirk Wiebe and Karen Melton-Stewart. In addition, high-profile microwave weapons experts as well as other intelligence whistle-blowers, journalists and human rights activists are preparing individual court cases and class action lawsuits against these crimes.

I am currently leading an international Joint Investigation Team with the retired NSA Intelligence Analyst Karen Melton-Stewart, who has 28 years experience working for the NSA. The Team is investigating intelligence agency crimes and systemic corruption in the police and judiciary. I was consulted by M. Laroche after he has been arrested and confined in a psychiatric ward under the most unusual circumstances after having complained about stalking and harassment by the intelligence agencies for 19 years.

One of the recurring features of the victim cases are entrapment operations by the intelligence agencies to discredit the victims and to obtain false psychiatric diagnoses by incompetent or corrupt psychiatrists with the aim of incarcerating the victim in a psychiatric ward for long periods of time and sometimes permanently.

I am familiar with M. Laroche's case as he has spoken at the high-profile international conference, the *Covert Harassment Conference*, in Berlin in 2015. I have spoken extensively to M. Laroche and he explained his case in detail. His testimony as I received it from him is as follows:

Testimony of M. Laroche

1. M. Laroche states that no accident has taken place. Instead, it was a harmless dense traffic situation in which cars were queuing for a parking space and he came too close to the car in front of him when that car stopped abruptly and surprisingly. He says that his car might have touched the car in front of him but just in the moment when his car came to a stop. There was no loud bang and he didn't feel an impact. This occurred at crawling pace and no harm was done to the vehicle in front of him.
2. What followed was a highly unusual and threatening scene that was played out by the two passengers inside the car in front of him. They climbed out of their car one after the other and came up to his car window. Curiously, none of the two passengers was interested in checking the alleged damage done to their car. Instead they came straight to talk to him and urge him to get out of the car. They began to shout at him in a way that was out of proportion to the situation and seemed to be intended to raise attention.
3. The woman appeared to wear a wig as her hair seemed unnaturally dark and straight. Also, the man was of a foreign origin, dark skinned and in his early 30s, whereas the woman was white Caucasian in her late 40s.
4. Both, the man and the woman were very aggressive and therefore M. Laroche was intimidated by them, so he refused to get out of the car. They became ever more forceful and therefore, he tried to move slowly past them in his car, by climbing up the empty pavement of the traffic island to his left.
5. The man moved out of the way, however, the woman took a few steps back and then jumped onto the front of his car, a Honda Jazz from the year 2009. As the front of the Honda Jazz is slanted downward at the front, the woman had to hold on to the metal on either side of the front window. M. Laroche noted that she seemed trained and very skilled in doing so as if she had prior training.
6. M. Laroche was shocked as she was hanging off the front frame of his car and was looking at him through the front window. He instinctively wanted to move away from the threatening scene, so he kept rolling forward. She kept looking over her shoulder as if to decide where to get off. As he reached the slight bend to the left in the road of the *Rue de la 4 chemins*, she stopped holding onto the front of his car and slipped off the car to the right. M. Laroche confirms that all this happened as he was driving his car at slow pace.
7. M. Laroche drove away from the scene which he considered to be *street theatre* from the agents that have been harassing him non-stop for the past 19 years with similar odd and threatening scenes.
8. The next day, the police called his mobile phone several times when he was away from that phone. M. Laroche does not know how the police got hold of his mobile number.
9. The day after, two police officers and two military police arrived at his home and arrested him. The police officers were surprised that the front of his car showed no damage.
10. He was taken into the police station and interrogated. He was kept for the night. The next day, Friday 5th May, he was taken to a psychiatric hospital where he stayed until 9th May at which point he was transferred to the psychiatric ward where he is has been confined since.

11. There was a judgement by Judge Beatrice Nicollet upon the application of the Mayor of Voiron and the Prefet de l'Isère and signed by Dr. Baali, Dr. Santarelli and Dr. Bigoshi that authorised his confinement for at least 3 months. The reason given for his confinement was that M. Laroche was suffering from delusions.
12. Upon arriving in the psychiatric hospital, M. Laroche has been given a drug that paralysed his breathing for a day so that he could barely breath. He had to ask the doctors to have the dosage changed. When M. Laroche asked not to be given any drugs to see how he felt then, the psychiatrist told him “We cannot give you nothing.”
13. The psychiatrists at the hospital told M. Laroche that he can only ever leave the hospital if he agrees to monthly injections of a drug at an extremely high-dosage such that the effect of the drug lasts for a month.
14. The incident that lead to M. Laroche's incarceration comes a week after M. Laroche contacted all members of the French parliament informing them of large scale crimes committed with electromagnetic weapons by the intelligence agencies.

Based on the testimony of M. Laroche, I can recognize several severe shortcomings in the police investigation that implies potential corruption or criminal misconduct on the part of the police. Furthermore, the judgement also implies that the court procedure in M. Laroche's case led to a gross miscarriage of justice:

Problems and Irregularities in the Police Conduct

1. The police was stated to have no detailed record of the accident. For example, the police was said to have no record of the other car involved in the alleged accident, the male driver of the other car, and the woman who is alleged to be a victim who suffered severe injuries.
2. No affidavits from the man and the woman at the incident were presented to M. Laroche, even though the entire police operation was supposedly based on their testimony.
3. The police ignored the evidence that M. Laroche's car had no signs of an accident.
4. The police officer, Yannick Plassiard, showed M. Laroche a piece of paper from a distance that he claimed was the medical report of the alleged female “victim”. However, M. Laroche was not allowed to read the document and was not handed a copy.
5. The police did not provide the CCTV footage of the site at which the accident occurred even though a CCTV camera is clearly visible on *Google Street View* right above the location at which the accident occurred (see attached PDF: *CCTV.at.incident.scene.pdf*).
6. The police moved suspiciously quickly by arresting M. Laroche, holding him in the police cell over night and then immediately transferring him to the psychiatric hospital so that M. Laroche was already incarcerated on a psychiatric ward 48 hours after his arrest without being able to prepare his case and without having been presented with any evidence of the allegations against him.
7. The lack of evidence, the speed of arrest, the severity of the treatment of M. Laroche given the dubious claims of the police, as well as his immediate transfer to a psychiatric hospital implies that the police officer M. Plassiard who handled his case is either **incompetent or corruptly acting on external instructions**.

Problems with the Judgment

8. The psychiatrists Dr. Baali, Dr. Santarelli, Dr. Bigoshi who presumably assessed M. Laroche and who signed the judgement decided that he was delusional based solely on his statement that he is being stalked, harassed and attacked with electromagnetic weapons by the intelligence agencies. These doctors concluded that he must be delusional despite the fact that they have neither knowledge of the intelligence agencies and their human intelligence networks, nor electromagnetic weapons. As neither of them is a counter-intelligence specialist or a weapons expert, they are **not competent to assess the statements**.
9. The judge who made the court order decided the case despite the fact that she clearly didn't understand the basics of electromagnetism, let alone electromagnetic weapons. This was betrayed by the fact that in her judgement she stated that M. Laroche claimed to be attacked by "magnetic waves", which is nonsensical as there are only *electromagnetic* waves and purely magnetic waves do not exist. M. Laroche as a system engineer knows that and never referred to "magnetic waves". Therefore, the lack of understanding by the judge is evident in the judgement. As a result, neither the judge nor the psychiatrists were competent to decide the accuracy of M. Laroche's statements.
10. M. Laroche was not represented by a qualified lawyer with knowledge of modern weapons technology and the crimes of the intelligence agencies who could have explained the situation to the judge. M. Laroche was also not given the opportunity to submit expert evidence and supporting affidavits regarding his complaints about stalking, harassment and electromagnetic weapon attacks on him by the intelligence agencies.
11. Neither the judge nor any of the psychiatrists or police officers can reach the conclusion that M. Laroche must be delusional simply because he claims to be harassed by the intelligence agencies.
 - This is especially not a valid judgement as there are international conferences entirely dedicated to the crimes committed by the intelligence agencies, for example the *Covert Harassment Conference* that was also attended by the famous NSA whistle-blower William Binney.
 - There are also whistle-blowers from the intelligence agencies, for example the ex-MI6 agent Carl Clark, who confirm that the psychological and electromagnetic warfare program that M. Laroche claims to be a victim of is real and is affecting thousands of innocent victims.
 - The *Wikileaks Vault 7* releases even included the evidence of over 1500 victims of the intelligence agencies who were already begging for the attacks against them to be stopped 10 years ago. The number of victims has only exploded since then.

Problems and Irregularities with the Psychiatric Assessment and the Psychiatric Treatment

12. The fact that M. Laroche was diagnosed with delusions even though he is completely healthy demonstrates that the psychiatrists who assessed him are **incompetent**.
13. The fact that M. Laroche received several unnecessary drugs at the hospital and the fact that the psychiatrist were unable to recognize a healthy man or know what exactly they were trying to cure with the administration of random drugs, given that his opinions and the facts of his case didn't change one bit by taking the drugs even after several weeks, shows that the psychiatrists are **highly incompetent**.

14. Furthermore, the fact that the psychiatrists already poisoned M. Laroche on the first day with a dosage of a drug that was so high that it paralysed his breathing for an entire day shows that the psychiatrists who prescribed the drug and monitored M. Laroche are **dangerously incompetent**.
15. The fact that the psychiatrists claim that M. Laroche, as a healthy man, can only leave the psychiatric hospital if he agrees to forced monthly injections of a random unnecessary drug at such high dosage that the effect lasts for a month even though there is no reasonable justification for this procedure implies that the psychiatrists are not just dangerously incompetent but they are also committing **criminal blackmail**.

Likely reasons for the irregularities

16. The irregularities in the police conduct is the hallmark of **false allegations**.
17. The unusualness of the car incident and the subsequently large number of irregularities across the police and psychiatric services is the hallmark of **criminal entrapment** as conducted by the intelligence agencies.
18. The fact that the entire highly unusual episode with all the above (statistically unlikely) irregularities played out a week after M. Laroche contacted the members of the French parliament to inform them about the crimes against humanity, which the top NSA whistle-blowers as well as international groups of experts are investigating, indicates that the motive for the entire incident and subsequent incarceration is to silence M. Laroche. This is not just a **criminal infringement on democratic rights** but also **aiding and abetting crimes against humanity**.
19. The fact that M. Laroche's unusual arrest comes just weeks before he is due to marry and the fact that the initially granted period of his incarceration of 3 months blocks his marriage means that his private life is being **criminally sabotaged**.

To clarify the incident, we request:

- **the details** of the male driver and female passenger of the car involved in the incident,
- **the notarised affidavits** of the driver and passenger involved in the incident,
- **the medical report** attesting the injuries of the female passenger,
- **the CCTV footage** from the scene of the accident,

Based on the facts as they have been presented to me, I urge the judge to release M. Laroche from the completely unjustified and potentially criminally motivated confinement on a psychiatric ward.

Dr. Katherine Horton

Leader of the Joint Investigation Team

(Directed energy weapons, implants, nano-technology, neuro-technology and systemic corruption)

ATTACHMENT:

- *CCTV.at.incident.scene.pdf* – PDF file showing the location of a CCTV camera right above the location where the incident occurred

Place de la République

Voiron, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes

Street View - Juli 2016



Google